

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2011 6:52 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: UAS Requirements
Attachments: UAS DOC.PDF; NB COA.ppt

All,

If you have any input on this tasking, please get it to me by Thursday morning for consolidation into my reply to Sector. The attached .pdf has some interesting info on the future capabilities of the CBP UAV Program.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2011 1:52 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: UAS Requirements

PAICs,

Please provide your initial thoughts on UAS requirements and DAO (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) comments (below) to me by COB Thursday, 5/5/11.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, May 02, 2011 6:36 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: UAS Requirements

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

OAM currently has a fleet of 6 UAS (unmanned aerial systems) that we are now referring to them as "RPAs" (remotely piloted aircraft) plus one addition aircraft called a "Guardian" for a total of seven. The Guardian is the maritime RPA, I believe currently located in (b)(7)(E). I would think that our response to the tasker below would be largely dependent on the type of RPA (Guardian or non-Guardian) that we could get out here to support our operations. (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) and I both see the situation like this:

We have divided our immediate (meaning Western Washington land border, water border, and the Washington Pacific coastline) area into 5 areas. We suggest that we refer to these 5 areas as:

- Washington Pacific Coast
- Strait of Juan De Fuca
- The Islands (Including Point Roberts)
- The Lowlands (Blaine to Columbia Valley)
- The Mountains (East of the Columbia Valley)

Facility Security Officer
Real Time Laboratories, LLC

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 7:34 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 70

Good morning (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Please provide the manufacturer's affidavit for the parts claiming US origin.

Thank you,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 10:15 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 70

Good Morning,

Attached is the e-mail response to the 15% proof of US content for sample 70

Enjoy

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CUSECO

"Certified US Export Compliance Officer"

Import Specialist

Facility Security Officer

Real Time Laboratories, LLC

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2012 2:43 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 70

Thank you (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

You are correct in stating that the "free trade agreement approves 15% US content once you are able to complete the rest 20% as Israeli content". According to General Notes (GN) 8 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) "If the article includes cost or value or materials produced in the customs territory of the United States, an amount not to exceed 15 percent of the appraised value may be applied toward determining the percentage."

As a result, please provide support to evidence US origin for sample 70.

Regards,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, September 05, 2012 10:19 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 70

Good Morning,

Please see the questions to your request for sample 70.

Do you need additional information or is this sufficient?

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CUSECO
"Certified US Export Compliance Officer"
Import Specialist
Facility Security Officer
Real Time Laboratories, LLC

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, September 05, 2012 9:58 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 66, 67, 68, 69, and 70

Hi,

There are two items in Sample #70:

(b)(7)(E) - in this PN only the labor adds up to more than 40% so we can just omit the US content and focus on the Israeli labor. Should I resend this?

(b)(7)(E) - I can add transportation costs instead of the US content and resend the proof.

But (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) the truth is that the free trade agreement approves 15% US content once you are able to complete the rest 20% as Israeli content. That's why I don't understand the problem.

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 05 September 2012 16:22
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 66, 67, 68, 69, and 70

Hello (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

15% US content is the confusing part. Can you prove more Israel and less US?

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CUSECO

"Certified US Export Compliance Officer"

Import Specialist

Facility Security Officer

Real Time Laboratories, LLC

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 10:20 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 66, 67, 68, 69, and 70

Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I am trying to figure out the request for sample 70.

I have provided 15% US content (material) + more that 20% Israeli content (labor) in both part numbers.

So why are the other non-US parts' invoices required?

Am I missing something?

Thanks

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: 29 August 2012 00:06

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 66, 67, 68, 69, and 70

Hello (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Please supply the information in red listed below. I have attached the entry packets for your convenience.

Thank you so much

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CUSECO

"Certified US Export Compliance Officer"

Import Specialist

Facility Security Officer

Real Time Laboratories, LLC

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 2:40 PM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Document Request- ACT ILFTA Samples 66, 67, 68, 69, and 70

Good afternoon (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Please provide the following documents for the samples listed below:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2012 1:53 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: ACT ILFTA Samples 5

Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I suppose that the best thing to do is providing the IFTA proof (please see attached), where you can find both the Israeli originated materials and production records.
If customs require the invoices as well, please let me know in advance because I have to translate all of them and there are so many of them.

I hope this helps.

Thanks,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 14 August 2012 22:57
To: Tova Shwartz
Subject: FW: ACT ILFTA Samples 5

Hello (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Below Customs is questioning which parts were incorporated into the imported item (b)(7)(E)?

(b)(7)(E)	(b)(7)(E)	\$44,230.77
-----------	-----------	-------------

Can you please help? I have attached sample 5 in question and your declaration.

Thank you so much

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
"Certified US Export Compliance Officer"
Import Specialist
Facility Security Officer
Real Time Laboratories, LLC

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, August 14, 2012 10:18 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ACT ILFTA Samples 5

Thank you for providing the manufacturer's invoices for sample 5; however, I am unable to identify which parts were incorporated into the imported item. Please identify which parts were included in the imported item (that originated in Israel).

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2014 12:21 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up
Attachments: ESL IFT Candidate Information_V20.xlsx; Requesting Waivers for Citizenship Requirements.pdf; H.2 3052.204-71 CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE ACCESS (HSAR).docx

Hello (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) I am the COR for the Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT) contract with Elbit Fort Worth (EFW). The parent company to EFW is Elbit Systems Limited (ESL). The software used on the IFT system is called Peregrine. ESL retains software rights to Peregrine. The people who wrote the Peregrine software live in Israel.

The reason that the waiver is needed is for the non-United States citizens to access the system data. Contract clause H2 (attached) titled "Contractor Employee Access" states in section (k): Non-U.S. citizens shall not be authorized to access or assist in the development, operation, management or maintenance of Department IT systems under the contract, unless a waiver has been granted by the Head of the component or designee,...

The attached excel sheet lists the personal information for the 38 Israeli citizens; it also includes a justification for each person and their priority level. I also have the passport photo-copies of all 38 to provide to you if needed.

I talked to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) this morning (he is on vacation but still answering emails), and he mentioned that the (attached) Attachment J is obsolete.

I really appreciate your time on this because the waivers will be needed by about 15-Feb-2015 or the project schedule could be impacted.

Thnx,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
CBP-OTIA-PMO-IFT-COR
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 8:13 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I reached out to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) The waiver request needs to go to the Commissioner. It needs to be routed it through CoS (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) He will be looking for it.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 9:35 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: USA-ISRAEL CYBER INITIATIVE, April 27-28, Washington. D.C.: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CBP; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) DCWATER; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Israel's Minister of Intelligence
Attachments: USA-ISRAEL CYBER Conference_April 27-28 Washington DC.PDF; Israel's Cyber Security Technologies_DCOI 2015.PDF

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Hope all is well.

Would appreciate if you can distribute the following and the attached, to your contacts.

Reminding you also my request to connect me with the CTO of NASA, if possible.

Thanks so much!

Best,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) – International Business Development | Direct/Viber: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (East Coast, USA) | Email: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
LinkedIn: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Skype: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Promoting Business and Trade, USA-ISRAEL, on behalf of Israel's Ministry of Economy

USA-ISRAEL CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE

DCOI - Defensive Cyberspace Operations & Intelligence Conference & Exhibition

Come and see some of the most innovative Cyber-Technologies in the world

Washington D.C., April 27-28, 2015

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 8:44 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

Capt and (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) finally have direction on where to send the waivers for the non-US citizens, ... , it goes to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Chief-of-Staff for the CBP Commissioner. I will send the 38 Israeli waivers today. (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 8:13 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I reached out to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) The waiver request needs to go to the Commissioner. It needs to be routed it through CoS (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) He will be looking for it.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Director, Personnel Security Division
Office of Internal Affairs
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 8:00 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

Cool. Thnx.

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 7:59 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

I actually spoke to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) about this Thursday. He feels the Commissioner himself will want to sign-off on this. I sent him the info Friday, but have not heard back.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2014 07:53 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) are you still trying to figure out who owns the authority? This issue was raised to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (Assistant Commissioner for the Office of Technology-Innovation-and-Acquisition, OTIA) on Friday 12-Dec from the Israeli

contractor (Elbit) . I know that you haven't had the issue for too long, but I have been trying to submit the foreign waivers since July 2014. I really need some policy clarification on who owns the authority.

Maybe a DHS policy would be similar to a DoD policy?

Thnx,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2014 4:54 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

We are trying to figure out who actually owns the authority on this. The below states the Component Head (so the Commissioner in our case) or designee in consultation with CIO and CSO (at DHS). I have not been able to figure out if the Commissioner has designated this authority to anyone or if he has retained it. I hope to have something soon.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Director, Personnel Security Division
Office of Internal Affairs
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2014 4:50 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) I have not seen any reply from you and am wondering if your office will be able to work on the Foreign Waivers? I am not sure of the process, and so I want to make sure I have contacted the right person. Thnx, (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 12:19 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I have forwarded your email to (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Director of the Personnel Security Division.

Thank you,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

US Customs & Border Protection
Office of Internal Affairs
Personnel Security Division

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)(direct)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 11:54 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) I have attached your previous the email to me suggesting that I contact my contracting office. I am the COR for the IFT contract so I am leading this effort. The email from (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (below) suggests that the Internal Affairs (IA) office should recommend approval for the CSO requirement.

Please let me know if you will proceed? Or if there is another office I should contact,

Thnk You,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 10:58 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Waiver for 35 FSN for IT contract / Phone Call Follow-up

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Per our phone conversation and as a follow up on our discussion. After discussing the below H.2 special Clause (see below) that you would reply to an email sent to you by (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) IA Mission Support Specialist, requesting that they push the request up their chain to the A/C for approval to fulfill the CSO requirement to waive citizenship requirements for 35 Foreign Nationals. With the CSO's concurrence we can process the CIO requirements on our end.

The IFT Contract includes Special Clause H.2 3052.204-71 CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE ACCESS (HSAR) (SEP 2012) ALTERNATE I (SEP 2012), see attached. Paragraph (k) of the clause specifies "Non-U.S. citizens shall not be authorized to access or assist in the development, operation, management or maintenance of Department IT systems under the contract, unless a waiver has been granted by the Head of the component or designee, with the concurrence of both the Department's Chief Security Officer (CSO) and the Chief Information Officer (CIO)."

Thank you,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

International Technical Assistance Division
Chief Customs and Border Protection Officer
Chief Information Technology & Security Officer
Office of International Affairs
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2014 8:39 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Wireless Technology Demonstrator - Elbit Systems Weekly Sync 10/14/14 Minutes

All,

Here are the notes from today's telecon.

Let me know if there are changes/corrections required.

10/14/2014 minutes:

- Participants: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
 - Next call to be Monday 10/20 at 1000 EDT
 - (b)(5), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
 - Reviewed test plan for 2nd System tests – 10/20 – 10/30 at PSCR (see below for details). Also discussed 3rd System test plans briefly.
 - Systems test schedule:
 - o 2nd System tests – 10/20 – 10/30 at PSCR
 - o 3rd System tests – 11/17-11/25 at PSCR (b)(5)
 - o 4th System tests - Jan 19-22 at PSCR (OTAP, Ad-hoc direct mode capability)
- PSCRL can conduct incremental testing after each system test completed. System will be ready for PSCRL test after 3rd System tests completed on 11/25 with the exception of OTAP and Ad-hoc direct mode capability.
- Date for Field Option discussion still tentative to week of Nov 17; Govt to review .
 - PSCRL updating P25 system in November or earlier. Possibly as early as this week.
 - (b)(5)

Reviewed/updated actions items below:

AI #	Action Item	Responsibility	Due Date	Status	Notes
28	(b)(5)	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	9/22/2014 9/29/2014 10/3/2014 10/7/2014	OPEN CLOSED	(b)(5)
29	(b)(5)	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	11/17/2014	OPEN	(b)(5)

					(b)(5)
30	(b)(5)	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	9/15/2014 9/22/2014 9/29/2014 10/6/2014 10/14/2014 10/20/2014	OPEN	(b)(5)
32	(b)(5)	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	9/15/2014 9/15/2014 9/29/2014 10/6/2014 10/13/2014	OPEN CLOSED	Moved to next week SENT 10/10
33	(b)(5)	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	9/15/2014 9/22/2014 9/29/2014 10/6/2014 10/20/2014	OPEN	(b)(5)
37	(b)(5)	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	10/20/2014	Open	

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, October 06, 2014 9:44 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Wireless Technology Demonstrator - Elbit Systems Weekly Sync 10/6/14 Minutes

All,

Here are the notes from today's telecon.

Let me know if there are changes/corrections required.

10/6/2014 minutes:

- Participants: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
- Next call to be Tuesday 10/14 at 0900 EDT
- Reviewed test plan for 2nd System tests – 10/20 – 10/30 at PSCR (see below for details). Also discussed 3rd System test plans briefly.
- Systems test schedule:
 - o 2nd System tests – 10/20 – 10/30 at PSCR (b)(5), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 7:06 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: UAV System appraisal - questions
Attachments: Appraisal - UAV.doc

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Please find attached an appraisal for the used, damaged UAV for case (b)(7)(E) (I hope I took the right number for that!) for party of exporter/importer Precision LLC of Newberg, OR (attempted informal entry (b)(7)(E)).

If you have any questions, just let me know. I will return the packet to you as soon as I take copies of certain docs for my appraisal file.

Thanks!

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Senior Import Specialist
CST 701-4, USCBP, Portland, Oregon
Direct ☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
CST (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C), ☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 12:36 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: UAV System appraisal - questions

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I reexamined the shipment to refresh my memory, the breakdown follows:

(b) (4)

See attached photos.

Regards,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

CBPO Field Ops
US Customs & Border Protection
Portland, OR
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 10:31 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: UAV System appraisal - questions
Importance: High

Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I had questions about what is included with the UAV shipment and its condition. There are five boxes listed –

(b) (4), (b)(5)

Boxes 1-2: I believe these consist of a small box and a large box, the smaller setting on top of the larger in the picture of the shipping cases. (b) (4), (b) (5)

(b) (4), (b) (5)

Boxes 3-4: I believe these are the two big boxes that are both open in the picture of the shipping cases. One of these contains (b) (4), (b) (5)

(b) (4), (b) (5)

Box 5: A smaller box, which is to the side and unopened in the shipping cases picture, appears to contain the (b) (4), (b) (5)

Also, did you see any damage to the unit other than that shown on the camera unit? Everything else appears to be undamaged.

Thanks (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Senior Import Specialist
CST 701-4, USCBP, Portland, Oregon
Direct ☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
CST 701-4 ☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) ☎: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:42 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: IFT capabilities article
Attachments: (b)(5)
Importance: High

Good Morning,

(b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Contracting Officer
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office of Administration – Procurement Office
Aviation, Maritime and Border Technologies Contracting Division

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Fax (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~Note: The Contracting Officer is the sole individual authorized to make changes to the contract on behalf of the Government. The content of this email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. If the Contractor considers any part of this communication to constitute a change in scope, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2014 10:39 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: IFT capabilities article
Importance: High

Good Morning (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Contracting Officer
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office of Administration – Procurement Office
Aviation, Maritime and Border Technologies Contracting Division

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 2:17 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: REACHBACK: ITN: (b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b) (4), (b) (5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Disclaimer

~~The National Nuclear Security Administration provides this report's technical contents for informational purposes only. Statements made herein should not be considered as authoritative regarding whether an export license is required for the commodities assessed or regarding the best enforcement action to be taken in response to discoveries or investigations. This assessment is based upon the information provided, and may change given new information.~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 9:54 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: REACHBACK: ITN: (b) (5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Attached Is the file the port received from the FF. This is the link to specs for this equipment
(b)(5) I could not find anything in EAR. May be you can.

Thanks.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
CBPO/Program Manager
NTC-Cargo
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Herndon, VA 20598

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 8:20 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Re: REACHBACK: ITN: (b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

After looking at the companies, my hunch is that these (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Both of the companies seem to heavily advertise their goods in a military oriented context although there are commercial applications.

(b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Disclaimer

~~The National Nuclear Security Administration provides this report's technical contents for informational purposes only. Statements made herein should not be considered as authoritative regarding whether an export license is required for the commodities assessed or regarding the best enforcement action to be taken in response to discoveries or investigations. This assessment is based upon the information provided, and may change given new information.~~

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2014, at 4:38 PM, (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) wrote:

Greetings,

(b)(4)(b)(5)

Thanks.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

FORM 7525-V

Status Code: Closed (C)

(b) (4)
SHIPPER'S EXPORT DECLARATION
ITN: (b)(7)(E)

OMB No. 0607-0152

(b)(7)(E)

<image005.png>

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 3:17 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Protest of Raytheon Company under Solicitation No. HSBP1012R0024
Attachments: (b)(5)

fyi

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 12:40 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Fw: Protest of (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) under Solicitation No. HSBP1012R0024

Sir,

We received a protest of the IFT award from (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Disappointing, but not a surprise in the current environment--and (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) does have a history of protesting.

The protest period is still open for some of the bidders, so it's possible there could be others before we're through.

(b)(5)

(b) (5)

In any event, we'll certainly be delayed a bit.

Vr,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Component Acquisition Executive & Assistant Commissioner
Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition
Customs and Border Protection

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2014 11:38 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Fw: Protest of (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Company under Solicitation No. HSBP1012R0024

I haven't reviewed this yet. I wanted to get it out as soon as I saw it.

As you probably know, the IFT contract was awarded to Elbit Systems of America. Next week we are holding a CLS conference, 2-4 April in their McLean, VA office. They are contracted to provide 100% of the logistical support.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

IFT Logistics & Sustainment
Office of Technology, Innovation, and Acquisition (OTIA)
US Customs and Border Protection (CBP)
Work Phone: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cell: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Fax: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

-----Original Appointment-----

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 10:10 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: IFT CLS Guidance Conference

When: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 8:00 AM to Wednesday, April 02, 2014 4:00 PM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

Where: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) - Seats 20, Video Conferencing Available

IFT Training

The meeting will start at 9:00 AM – 5:00 PM EST. The last hour 4:00 – 5:00 will be allotted for additional questions and review of action items.

Breakfast Lunch and Beverages will be provided.

Conference Number:

Toll-free dial-in number (U.S. and Canada):

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

International dial-in number:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Conference code:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 28, 2014 8:30 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: IFT Award Press Release - Approval Requested

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

If at all possible, I respectfully request approval by today since Elbit Systems, as a publically traded company, has obligations to report these events in a timely manner.

Thank you,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Vice President, Command & Control Systems and Homeland Security Solutions

Elbit Systems of America, Land & C4I Solutions
8200 Greensboro Dr., Suite 700
McLean, VA 22102

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Office
Mobile
Fax

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

www.elbitsystems-us.com

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unauthorized interception of this e-mail is a violation of law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and immediately destroy all copies of the original message.~~

~~Any technical data and/or information provided with or in this email may be subject to U.S. export controls law. Export, diversion or disclosure contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Such technical data or information is not to be exported from the U.S. or given to any foreign person in the U.S. without prior written authorization of Elbit Systems of America and the appropriate U.S. Government agency.~~

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unauthorized interception of this e-mail is a violation of law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and immediately destroy all copies of the original message.~~

~~Any technical data and/or information provided with or in this email may be subject to U.S. export controls law. Export, diversion or disclosure contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Such technical data or information is not to be exported from the U.S. or given to any foreign person in the U.S. without prior written authorization of Elbit Systems of America and the appropriate U.S. Government agency.~~

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 10:35 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: IFT Award Press Release - Approval Requested
Attachments: un IFT press release-Hafia version_final.doc.doc; IFT press release-Fort Worth version_final.docx.docx

Importance: High

Good Morning,

(b)(5)

Thanks.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

*Contracting Officer
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office of Administration – Procurement Office
Aviation, Maritime and Border Technologies Contracting Division*

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Fax (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~Note: The Contracting Officer is the sole individual authorized to make changes to the contract on behalf of the Government. The content of this email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. If the Contractor considers any part of this communication to constitute a change in scope, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 28, 2014 1:21 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: IFT Award Press Release - Approval Requested
Importance: High

Good Afternoon,

(b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

*Contracting Officer
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office of Administration – Procurement Office*

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Fax (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~Note: The Contracting Officer is the sole individual authorized to make changes to the contract on behalf of the Government. The content of this email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. If the Contractor considers any part of this communication to constitute a change in scope, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 28, 2014 8:30 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: IFT Award Press Release - Approval Requested

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thank you,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Vice President, Command & Control Systems and Homeland Security Solutions

Elbit Systems of America, Land & C4I Solutions
8200 Greensboro Dr., Suite 700
McLean, VA 22102

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Office
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Mobile
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Fax

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

www.elbitsystems-us.com

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unauthorized interception of this e-mail is a violation of law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and immediately destroy all copies of the original message.~~

~~Any technical data and/or information provided with or in this email may be subject to U.S. export controls law. Export, diversion or disclosure contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Such technical data or information is not to be exported from the U.S. or given to any foreign person in the U.S. without prior written authorization of Elbit Systems of America and the appropriate U.S. Government agency.~~

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 10:17 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: ELBIT SYSTEMS LTD's Subsidiary Organizational Structure from
Attachments: ELBIT SYSTEMS LTD's (b) (4) with the US SEC.pdf

ELBIT SYSTEMS LTD's Subsidiary Organizational Structure is on page 16 of their (b) (4) with the SEC.

The complete filing is attached, and is available directly from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) at (b) (4)

USA	ISRAEL	EUROPE	SOUTH AMERICA	ASIA/OCEANIA
(b) (4)	(b) (4)	(b) (4)	(b) (4)	(b) (4)

U.S. Subsidiaries

Elbit Systems of America

We conduct most of our U.S. business through Elbit Systems of America, LLC (Elbit Systems of America), a Delaware limited liability company, and its major wholly-owned subsidiaries including: EFW Inc. (b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4) We hold our 100% interest in Elbit Systems of America through intermediate Delaware holding companies. Elbit Systems of America provides products and system solutions focusing on U.S. military, commercial aviation, homeland security and medical instrumentation customers. Elbit Systems of America is organized along a number of main business lines operating out of several primary operational facilities. The major business lines include (b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4) Elbit Systems of America's main operation centers include its facilities in Fort Worth, Texas; San Antonio, Texas; Merrimack, New Hampshire; Boca Raton, Florida; Talladega, Alabama; and McLean, Virginia.

Elbit Systems of America acts as a contractor for U.S. Foreign Military Financing (FMF) and Foreign Military Sales (FMS) programs. (See below "Governmental Regulations – Foreign Military Financing.") Each of Elbit Systems of America's major operational facilities has engineering and manufacturing capabilities. Elbit Systems of America's facilities in Alabama and Texas have significant maintenance and repair capabilities. (See below "Manufacturing" and "Customer Satisfaction and Quality Assurance.")

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

v/r - (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Lead Systems Engineer (LSE), Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT).

Systems Engineering Management (SEM) Division, Systems Engineering Directorate (SED).

Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition (OTIA), U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).

1901 S. Bell St., Suite 721, Arlington VA 20598.

Ofc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Cell: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Email: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Note: ~~This email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. See FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2014 5:15 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: LOTUS GL Smart Sentinel System
Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

I sent two copies of the promised manual via FedEx overnight [tracking number 537296296027] yesterday which you should receive today.

Regards,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 6:39 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: LOTUS GL Smart Sentinel System
Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

The promised more formal manual will be sent from Israel this week but the only caution I received was the following:

(b) (4)

Regards,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 6:01 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: LOTUS GL Smart Sentinel System
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

We are about to fire up the system and want to make sure nothing else was changed as far as user/setup instructions (other than attached document).

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:23 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: LOTUS GL Smart Sentinel System
Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

The system is in the air to the US from Israel and once it lands and clears US Customs at JFK in NY we will drop ship it to Biggs Army Airfield to your attention (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) in discussing the issues with the system with (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) and as part of their troubleshooting it during the repair process they expressed a concern to us that if the system is not assembled/hooked up properly that further issues/damage could be done to it. They have sent me the enclosed instructions which need to be followed or else the system could be damaged. They are now on their Passover holiday break not returning until the 22nd of April but they promised me they would put these instructions in a better format and send them to me upon their return.

Best Regards,
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Please advise comments or response from CBP and we will go from there.

Thanks for the assist with this.

Best regards,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Trade Compliance Import Logistics Specialist

Elbit Systems of America (JFK)

179-17 149th Avenue

Suite 203

Jamaica, NY 11413

Phone# (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)



From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 7:55 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject: RE: INV NO. (b)(4) Tacter/US Customs Issue

The prime Government contract number is W15QKN-11-C-0126. Attached is the PO from (b)(4) to us for the Tacters (and accessories) which references the prime contract as well as states it is for providing tacters to (b)(4) (who in turn (b)(7)(E)).

Let me know if you need anything else.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Project Manager

Land & C4I Solutions

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) desk

mobile

fax

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 5:21 PM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject: RE: INV NO. (b)(4) US Customs Issue

Hi (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

We bring these in under permanent import from Israel and then in turn have the joint venture to provide them to (b)(4) who in turn (b)(7)(E)

We need to establish the Government Contract number that (b)(4) has with the U.S. Government and the proof that we are on the contract as a sub-supplier to them for the Tacter Units to complete their end product.

Do we have this information and details or can we get them?

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2015 11:24 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Breaking Down the Global Progression of Drones
Attachments: CNAS World of Drones_052115.pdf

This isn't the guy, but this article is interesting.

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2015 10:12 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Breaking Down the Global Progression of Drones

FYI.

Breaking Down the Global Progression of Drones

Research and technology in the field of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs or "drones") is developing at a rapid rate. Military UAVs used for surveillance and aerial assault missions are the most commonly referenced drones in mainstream discourse, however, this technology is quickly diffusing to private sector applications. Privately owned drones are currently being utilized to maximize commercial efficiency and to facilitate access to unique aerial perspectives. Additionally, smaller drones with multiple rotors have surpassed remote control fixed-wing planes as the hobbyist's choice for recreational use.

The Center for a New American Security recently released a report entitled *World of Proliferated Drones: A Technology Primer* written by Associate Fellow for Defense Strategies and Assessments Kelley Sayler. The report is an examination of the current status of global drone usage, and how technologies and dispersion of drones are expected to progress in the future. According to statistics referenced in the report's introduction, "Over 90 countries and non-state actors operate drones today, including at least 30 that operate or are developing armed drones." The report divides drone usage into four categories, which the author then expands upon in greater detail. These categories and their respective analysis are summarized below.

Hobbyist Drones: This category includes drones that are easily accessible to anybody who can afford a price in the low-mid thousands. Assembly of these products only requires a low level of technical expertise and the ability to follow written instructions. The technology of these drones has advanced to facilitate autonomous flight under certain circumstances; the most common of these scenarios being when a drone loses connection with its control mechanism resulting in the drone returning to the last place it had contact with the controller and/or diverting to a pre-determined location. Similarly, the cameras available on these types of drones are also progressing, and are expected to include infrared, heat detection, and laser technologies in the near future. These innovations would allow for the autonomous ability to "sense-and-avoid" hazardous areas in real-time. Concerns surrounding these drones are mainly related to unauthorized surveillance. Notable occurrences include the Whitehouse and nuclear facilities in France, Belgium and the UK, which have witnessed civilian

drone activity. Commercial drones are also reported to have been used to surveil the battlefield by the Ukrainian military and the Islamic State.

Midsize Military and Commercial Drones: For the most part these drones function similarly to hobbyist drones, except that the deeper pockets of corporations and state militaries results in more advanced cutting-edge technology. These drones range in price from hundreds of thousands to the low millions. Their primary use is surveillance, which is facilitated by sophisticated camera and sensor technology allowing them to map weather patterns, track targets, and in some cases detect improvised explosive devices (IEDs). Their range and flight time is substantially higher than hobbyist drones and if needed, these drones could deliver highly lethal payloads. These drones are operated in 87 countries, making them the most popular type of UAV for state purposes. This relatively low costing technology is expected to continue to diffuse rapidly across international borders through foreign sales. Commercial applications are also widely used for humanitarian aid and in the agriculture industry (for surveying and/or spraying crops).

Large Military Specific Drones: These drones operate solely in the realm of state actors, as their cost and operation require a large amount of resources. The most popular of this type of drone is the Predator, which has a flight capability of 45 hours. In addition to the obvious advantages in endurance, range, and weapons capabilities available on this type of drone, many are equipped for satellite communication. This technology allows the drones to transfer massive amounts of data and to be controlled from any location equipped for satellite connection. According to the report, "10 countries currently possess armed drones, with at least 20 more openly reported to have active development programs."

Stealth Combat Drones: The difference between these drones and the previous category is twofold: (1) they have the ability to fly closer to the ground in order to avoid radar detection; and (2) their shape and structural makeup is modified to reduce heat and other detectable signatures. Currently only the U.S. owns and operates UAVs with this type of technology. As opposed to drones like the Predator, which primarily operate in friendly areas or locations without radar technology, stealth drones are designed to function in hostile environments that possess developed radar networks.

The report concludes by re-emphasizing that the prevalence of drone usage on all levels will only continue to grow, for better or for worse.

Additional resources on drones available at the Homeland Security Digital Library (some resources may require HSDL login) include:

- *Drones in Domestic Surveillance Operations: Fourth Amendment Implications and Legislative Responses*
- *Domestic Drones and Privacy: A Primer*
- *Strategic Effects of a Lethal Drones Policy: Understanding Drones in a Broader Context*
- *Discussion Paper 2: Drone Attacks, International Law, and the Recording of Civilian Casualties of Armed Conflict*

I can pull these reports if you are interested in reviewing.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Branch Chief/Senior Intelligence Officer – Counternarcotics
Strategic Intelligence & Analysis Division
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office of Intelligence

Desk: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent:

Monday, September 21, 2015 10:47 AM

To:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject:

FW: Elbit announces "significant milestones"

Attachments:

Elbit Systems of America Achieves Significant Milestones for IFT.pdf

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

An item for discussion at the tag-up.

Regards,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Elbit Systems of America Achieves Significant Milestones for the Nogales Integrated Fixed Towers System

FORT WORTH, Texas, September 10, 2015 /PRNewswire/ --

Elbit Systems of America, LLC announced today that its Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT) border surveillance system, deployed outside of Nogales, Arizona, has successfully met milestones for system acceptance testing and conditional acceptance pursuant to its contract with the Department of Homeland Security's Customs and Border Protection agency (CBP). These significant milestones on the path to final acceptance follow several months of construction, integration and test activity, and verify that the system meets its performance requirements, including the ability to detect, track, identify, and classify movement on the border. The system is now available to the Border Patrol for operational use in conducting their daily mission.

"The IFT program is a critical element within our broader technology and surveillance strategy for the Arizona border," said Mark Borkowski, Assistant Commissioner and Chief Acquisition Executive for CBP's Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition. "When fully deployed, we expect the system will significantly increase the awareness, safety, and operational effectiveness of our Border Patrol resources. We are very pleased to have reached these major milestones, which gives the Border Patrol the opportunity to become familiar with and to gain confidence in the system, while simultaneously providing direct support to agents in the field. We are also particularly appreciative of Elbit System of America's unwavering commitment to deliver this high quality and cost-effective IFT system in support of our border security mission."

IFT provides Border Patrol Agents long-range, 360-degree, all-weather, and persistent surveillance capability. The system provides Agents a high degree of situation awareness along the border by assisting them in identifying and classifying "items of interest" and coordinating an appropriate response, while also contributing to Agent safety.

"We are pleased with the results of the system acceptance testing and by working closely with CBP, we are able to announce that we have achieved significant milestones for the first deployment of IFT," commented Raanan Horowitz, President and CEO of Elbit Systems of America. "We are confident that the system will meet the Agents' expectations and operational needs."

As the prime contractor and system integrator, Elbit Systems of America furnishes the IFT system human machine interface and command & control software, which is hosted on workstations at the Nogales Border Patrol station. Elbit Systems of America also manages tower construction, integrates the sensors with power and

communication systems, provides training to Border Patrol Agents and furnishes logistics support. Elbit Systems of America selected a team of subcontractors to supply specialized elements of the IFT system, including International Towers, Inc. for tower construction, Elta North America for the ground surveillance radar, FLIR Systems for the day/night cameras, and Cambium Networks for the microwave communication system.

About Elbit Systems of America, LLC

Elbit Systems of America is a leading provider of high performance products, system solutions, and support services focusing on the commercial aviation, defense, homeland security, cyber security, and medical instrumentation markets. With facilities throughout the United States, Elbit Systems of America is dedicated to supporting those who contribute daily to the safety and security of the United States. Elbit Systems of America, LLC is wholly owned by Elbit Systems Ltd. (NASDAQ and TASE: ESLT), a global electronics company engaged in a wide range of programs for innovative defense and commercial applications. For additional information, visit: <http://www.elbitsystems-us.com> or follow us on [Twitter](#).

About Elbit Systems

Elbit Systems Ltd. is an international high technology company engaged in a wide range of defense, homeland security and commercial programs throughout the world. The Company, which includes Elbit Systems and its subsidiaries, operates in the areas of aerospace, land and naval systems, command, control, communications, computers, intelligence surveillance and reconnaissance ("C4ISR"), unmanned aircraft systems, advanced electro-optics, electro-optic space systems, EW suites, signal intelligence systems, data links and communications systems, radios and cyber-based systems. The Company also focuses on the upgrading of existing platforms, developing new technologies for defense, homeland security and commercial applications and providing a range of support services, including training and simulation systems.

For additional information, visit: <http://www.elbitsystems.com> or follow us on [Twitter](#).

Trademarks

Elbit Systems of America and other trademarks, service marks and logos are registered or unregistered marks of Elbit Systems of America companies in the United States and in foreign countries. Copyright © 2014 Elbit Systems of America. All rights reserved.

Forward Looking Statement

This press release contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) regarding Elbit Systems Ltd. and/or its subsidiaries (collectively the Company), to the extent such statements do not relate to historical or current fact. Forward-looking statements are based on management's expectations, estimates, projections and assumptions. Forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual future results, performance and trends may differ materially from these forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including, without limitation: scope and length of customer contracts; governmental regulations and approvals; changes in governmental budgeting priorities; general market, political and economic conditions in the countries in which the Company operates or sells, including Israel and the United States among others; differences in anticipated and actual program performance, including the ability to perform under long-term fixed-price contracts; and the outcome of legal and/or regulatory proceedings. The factors listed above are not all-inclusive, and further information is contained in Elbit Systems Ltd.'s latest annual report on Form 20-F, which is on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this release. The Company does not undertake to update its forward-looking statements.

Contact: Shari Clarkson | +1-682-286-2363 | sharon.clarkson@elbitsystems-us.com

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 8:45 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Arizona Technology Plan (ATP) Weekly Update to Congress - week ending 31 Jul 2015.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Please find the IFT updated entry below:

(b)(5)

Very Respectfully,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) CAPT, USCG

DDE-DHS-CBP-OTIA

Integrated Fixed Towers Program

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2015 11:42 AM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Arizona Technology Plan (ATP) Weekly Update to Congress - week ending 31 Jul 2015.

Please send me and (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) inputs by 9am tomorrow. Thanks.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Director, Program Management Office

Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition (OTIA)

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

W: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

BB: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: IFT VDD ECP review-Attachments

Meeting: Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT) Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Review

Purpose:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Materials:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

(b)(5)

Agenda:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

(b)(5)

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unauthorized interception of this e-mail is a violation of law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and immediately destroy all copies of the original message.~~

~~Any technical data and/or information provided with or in this email may be subject to U.S. export controls law. Export, diversion or disclosure contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Such technical data or information is not to be exported from the U.S. or given to any foreign person in the U.S. without prior written authorization of Elbit Systems of America and the appropriate U.S. Government agency.~~

(b)(5), (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Respectfully,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 12:04 PM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Elbit Systems of America News Release - Quote and Approval Requested

(b)(5)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Deputy PM Integrated Fixed Tower (IFT) /OTIA/CBP

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 11:32 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Elbit Systems of America News Release - Quote and Approval Requested

Thank you (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) appreciate your assistance.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 9:40 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Elbit Systems of America News Release - Quote and Approval Requested

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C) all is relatively well in DC, hope you are chillin' in Texas.

Review and approval of news releases is the responsibility of (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) and her team in public affairs, so we will defer to her. In the meantime, we will see if we can get an appropriate quote from Mr.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Thanks, (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Attached are the schedule slides from the weekly Tag-up brief.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thanks,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Nogales AOR Deployment Project Manager
Program Management Office (PMO), Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT)
Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition (OTIA)
Customs and Border Protection (CBP)
Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
1901 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 22202
Work: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cell: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Email: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 11:44 PM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: IFT PM Tag up WebEx - Starts at 1415 Eastern on Tuesday & Thursday -SLIDES FOR 4.14.15

See attached Tag Up slides for today 4.14.15

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unauthorized interception of this e-mail is a violation of law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and immediately destroy all copies of the original message.~~

~~Any technical data and/or information provided with or in this email may be subject to U.S. export controls law. Export, diversion or disclosure contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Such technical data or information is not to be exported from the U.S. or given to any foreign person in the U.S. without prior written authorization of Elbit Systems of America and the appropriate U.S. Government agency.~~

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 2015.0.5863 / Virus Database: 4331/9553 - Release Date: 04/16/15

I will cancel the Thursday TagUp meeting.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 4:19 PM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: IFT ERB for Allocated Baseline prior to SAT

As discussed during today's IFT PM Tag-Up meeting

Next week at the usually scheduled time for the PM Tag-Up meeting—*specifically Thursday, April 09, 2015, 2:00 PM-3:00 PM Eastern Time*—the IFT Program will hold an Engineering Review Board (ERB) to recommend (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Participation by EFW Inc. is recommended, including Engineering Leadership (e.g., Director, Chief Engineer), Logistics Leadership, and Configuration Management Leadership.

v/r - (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Lead Systems Engineer (LSE), Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT).

Systems Engineering Management (SEM) Division, Systems Engineering Directorate (SED).

Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition (OTIA), U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).

1901 S. Bell St., Suite 721, Arlington VA 20598.

Ofc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Cell: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Email: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~Note: The Contracting Officer is the sole individual authorized to make changes to the contract on behalf of the Government. The content of this email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. If the Contractor considers any part of this communication to constitute a change in scope, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

-----Original Appointment-----

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 11:49 PM

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: IFT PM Tag up WebEx - Starts at 1415 Eastern on Tuesday & Thursday

When: Thursday, April 02, 2015 1:00 PM-2:00 PM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

Where: WebEx

Bi-Weekly WebEx

Agenda

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: IFT PM Tag up WebEx - Starts at 1415 Eastern on Tuesday & Thursday

All Concerned,

(b)(5)

The location can be described as (b)(7)(E)

v/r - (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Lead Systems Engineer (LSE), Integrated Fixed Towers (IFT).
Systems Engineering Management (SEM) Division, Systems Engineering Directorate (SED).
Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition (OTIA), U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP).
1901 S. Bell St., Suite 721, Arlington VA 20598.
Ofc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Cell: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Email: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~Note: The Contracting Officer is the sole individual authorized to make changes to the contract on behalf of the Government. The content of this email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. If the Contractor considers any part of this communication to constitute a change in scope, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

Wow! You obviously found a better way! Many thanks!

v/r - (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~Note: The Contracting Officer is the sole individual authorized to make changes to the contract on behalf of the Government. The content of this email is not intended to change the existing scope of contract. If the Contractor considers any part of this communication to constitute a change in scope, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in accordance with FAR Clause 52.243-7, Notifications of Changes.~~

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 09:04 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Block #1 technical documents

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

All of the files within the 2 links you identified below have been uploaded and checked-in to the following (b)(7)(E) location:

(b)(7)(E)

Standing by to assist if anything further is needed...

Thanks,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Contractor, ManTech Team

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Sent:

Wednesday, February 27, 2013 7:53 AM

To:

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Subject:

Info

Guys,

Yesterday I had a presentation from a security company, Elbit Security Systems, in Israel. They are a provider of camera technology, technology integration and security assessments.

They have some high tech cameras that help reduce the need for many cameras. The one they showed us could read the name of a vessel from 8 kilometers out.

They said they have a US company. So I just wanted to give you their info. www.elbitsystems.com

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2012 9:43 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: Skylark
Attachments: Puma vs Skylark Comparison.docx; Skylark_II_(2012).pdf; Skylark_I-LE_(2012).pdf

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Elbit Systems of Israel makes two Skylark SUAS. The Skylark I LE is a Puma class SUAS. It is the Israeli IDF standard UAS battalion level UAS and has been in production for a while.

Attached is a summary comparison of Skylark with Puma, along with datasheets.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 8:32 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Unattended Ground Sensors Briefing by the Israeli Ministry of Defense
Attachments: (b) (4) pdf

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

The Israel Ministry of Defense (IMOD) has offered to provide a briefing on their most current developments in unattended ground sensors to interested parties in the US Government including DHS/CBP. The focus of the briefing is a system called (b) (4) which is being developed by Elbit Systems for the IMOD and has also been tested by the US Army. Colonel (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) from the IMOD will be in the Washington DC area March 2-3 for these briefings. Because of the sensitive nature of the technology, the IMOD has determined that they will lead these briefings so that they can share more information on a Government-to-Government basis.

I wanted to touch base with you, to see if you are interested in participating in such a meeting. Also, could you recommend others in DHS/S&T, CBP, OBP, OTIA, and OIT that might also benefit from hearing about these latest developments?

Attached is a brochure on (b)(4) which provides a bit more information on the system.

Please call me if you have any questions or require further information.

Regards,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Vice President, Homeland Security Solutions
Elbit Systems of America

8200 Greensboro Drive, Suite 700
McLean VA 22102

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)(office)
(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)(mobile)

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unauthorized interception of this e-mail is a violation of law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and immediately destroy all copies of the original message.~~

~~Any technical data and/or information provided with or in this email may be subject to U.S. export controls law. Export, diversion or disclosure contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Such technical data or information is not to be exported from the U.S. or given to any foreign person in the U.S. without prior written authorization of Elbit Systems of America and the appropriate U.S. Government agency.~~

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2014 11:10 AM
To: (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: ESLC IFT personnel waiver request
Attachments: ESL IFT Candidate Information_V20.xlsx; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) Passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.jpg; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.jpg; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf; (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) passport.pdf
Importance: High

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Per our discussions, EFW requests waivers for Non-U.S. citizens to be authorized to access or assist in the Integrated Fixed Tower (IFT) contract. EFW has the contract to deploy the IFT system which is based on Elbit's Peregrine border system developed in Israel which has been in use for over 7 years in Israel. To benefit the Government, the Elbit team includes Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) from Israel to assist in deployment, training and ILS on the IFT contract. The individuals we are requesting waivers for are SMEs which are the most experienced personnel Elbit has on the IFT system and specifically on the Human Machine Interface (HMI) which is the basis for all training to be created for the Government under this contract. In addition to the HMI SMEs, Elbit System and Software Engineering SMEs will be used on the program to assist in deployment and integration of the first IFT system in order to minimize program risk and setup for the first system in the Nogales Area of Responsibility (AoR). Elbit understands that the IFT system is a stand-alone system within an Area of Responsibility (AoR) and there is no intention to integrate or network any of the individual systems across AoRs or other DHS IT systems.

The non-U.S. citizens are prioritized as follows:

1. Working EFW IFT program and will need to interface with customer and customer Nogales system.
2. Working EFW IFT program and may need to interface with customer and support customer Nogales system.
(back up to #1)
3. Working EFW IFT program and will never interface with customer and no access to Nogales system.
4. May need to work program.

All will never need access to LES information.

All should never need access to DHS IT network based on assumption that the Nogales IFT system is not networked to DHS.

The corresponding passport images will be sent in multiple subsequent emails due to size size.

Let me know if you need additional information and have a Happy Thanksgiving.

Thanks,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

~~The information contained in this email transmission may contain proprietary and business sensitive information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly~~